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Freshwater molluscs, particularly narrow-range endemics restricted to a single spring or short stream reaches, are highly susceptible to habitat loss and degradation. For this reason, the modern extinction rate of freshwater molluscs is one of the highest among animal taxa (Régnier, Fontaine & Bouchet, 2009; Johnson et al., 2013) and a high percentage of extant species is threatened by extinction (Cuttelod, Seddon & Neubert, 2011; Johnson et al., 2013). To prevent extinctions of species and populations, translocation and reintroduction is of increasing importance in species conservation (Armstrong & Seddon, 2007; Ewen et al., 2012). But there is significant taxonomic bias in the number of reintroduction programmes and the resulting publications, which favours vertebrates, especially birds and mammals (Fischer & Lindenmayer, 2000; Bajomi et al., 2010). Among the few reported freshwater mollusc translocations and reintroductions, one can find mostly unionid mussels (e.g. Gope & Waller, 1995; Perekó et al., 2005; Layzer & Scott, 2006; Tie mann et al., 2016), while gastropod reintroductions are surprisingly rare (Ahlstedt, 1991; COSEWIC, 2008) compared with their diversity and the high number of imperilled species (Lydeard et al., 2004; Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Here we report on the successful reintroduction of the black nerte, *Theodoxus prevostianus* (Pfeiffer, 1828), a rare and endangered freshwater gastropod. This species is distributed in the Pannonian biogeographical region of central Europe and inhabits hypothermal springs. Historically, 15–20 populations were known, but the majority have become extinct during the past 50 years (Kormos, 1905, 1906; Schreter, 1915; Wagner, 1927, 1957; Soós, 1943; Gagiu, 2004). Now, only four remaining populations are known: two in Austria (Bad Vöslau and Bad Fischau), one in Slovenia (Bišča vas) and one in Hungary (Kács). As demonstrated by the recent extinction of the Rábhági population in 2007 (Sirbă & Benedek, 2009) and the almost total collapse in the size of the Kács population in 2010 (Fehér et al., 2011), this species is classified as endangered according to the IUCN Red List criteria based on area of occupancy and population trend (Sólymos & Fehér, 2011).

The intraspecific molecular diversity and phylogenetic relationships among populations of *T. prevostianus* were investigated prior to planning and executing any conservation action regarding the species. Three diverging intraspecific mitochondrial lineages were revealed, of which one comprised the Kács population (Fehér et al., 2009). This was a good reason to treat the Kács population as a distinct conservation unit and it gave a final impulse to the decision to establish one or more refuge populations at new locations. There was already a reported case of a benign introduction of this species in 1909. Lajos Soós introduced some specimens from Tata to Budapest (Római-fürdő) and the newly established population existed for decades (Wagner, 1927; Soós, 1943). This case indicated the likelihood of successful establishment of the species given favourable environmental conditions at the new location.

The reintroduction of *T. prevostianus* was planned to be a strict reintroduction in the sense of IUCN/SSC (2013), therefore the proposed recipient site was one of the historic locations of this species (Lator Spring near Sály village; 47°30′0″N, 20°6′10″E; c. 3 km in straight-line distance from the donor population). Lator Spring has been utilized by the local waterworks since the 1970s and at first there were periods when its full volume was captured and the outflow stream dried out, which led to the extinction of the original population. In the following decades, despite several searches, *T. prevostianus* has not been detected at Sály. Recently, the continuous availability of water in the stream has been assured. As indicated by the low chemical oxygen demand of the water (<10 mg/l) and the composition of the macroscopic invertebrate fauna (e.g. by the presence of the narrow-range hydrobiid *Bythinella pannonica* (Frauenfeld, 1865)), habitat conditions also seem to be adequate. The first 25-m section of the outflow runs in a concrete channel; downstream the stream bed is mainly silty but there are some scattered cobbles and boulders (5–30 cm in diameter) and also some concrete structures that offer solid surfaces for attachment of *T. prevostianus*.

The reintroduction proposal was given permission in March 2010. We were only allowed to capture 200 adult specimens per year between 2010 and 2012, a total of 600 adult specimens altogether. It was clear that the amount of captured animals must not imperil the stability of the donor population (IUCN/SSC, 2013). Nevertheless, the allowed yearly quota of 200 specimens seemed overly cautious, considering the ordinary population size of 107–108 adult specimens at Kács at the time (Varga, Ötvös & Fúkoh, 2007). The main problem with a small number of...
individuals was that nerites are dioecious, without apparent sexual dimorphism. Therefore, it was impossible to determine the sex ratio in the donor population. In an extreme situation, a skewed sex ratio combined with small number of individuals can result in the complete exclusion of one sex. Considering this risk, we preferred not to spread the permitted quantity into more than two batches (200 specimens in 2010 and 400 in 2012) and we tried to select as many specimens with unhatched egg capsules attached to their shells as possible. We carried out both translocations in late autumn, because it was known from Austrian *T. prevostianus* populations that there is increased egg-laying activity from August to September and there are two waves of increased mortality in October. For the assessment of long-term success, of course, further follow-up monitoring was performed somewhat irregularly, on average twice a year (see Supplementary Material).

Neither living specimens nor empty shells were found until August 2014, almost two years after the second translocation. At the following visit on 24 October 2015, however, numerous adult specimens were detected. One year later (15 October 2016) the population still existed and was found to occupy at least a 400–500 m section downstream from the outlet. Some of the living specimens were observed upstream from the upper release spot, demonstrating the species’ ability to spread upstream. Some of the nerites were found to carry the remnants of freshly hatched egg capsules attached to their shells. We set random quadrats of 30 × 30 cm along the populated stream section to count those animals that were visible to the naked eye. Extrapolating these quadrat counts to the whole populated area, the number of adult and subadult specimens was estimated to be 5,000–20,000. These observations let us to assume that most, if not all, of the observed individuals were born and developed in situ, which indicated the success of the introduction in the mid-term. For the assessment of long-term success, of course, further population monitoring is necessary.

The most noteworthy lesson of our programme was the long latency of the reintroduced population. At least two years passed after the second round of translocations, during which the density of the establishing population remained below the detection threshold. The sudden increase in population size started just after that. This long latency underlines the importance of long-term follow-up monitoring in any gastropod reintroduction programme.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

Supplementary Material is available at *Journal of Molluscan Studies* online.
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